

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/764,530		01/17/2001	Robert Berliner	169-274 6423		
62836	7590	07/19/2006		EXAMINER		
BERLINEI 555 WEST						
31ST STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	_	90013				

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		09/764,530	BERLINER, ROBERT			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ronald Laneau	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
The Ap	peal Brief filed on <u>28 June 2006</u> is defective for					
1205.03	d dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t SIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notificatio	ate correction (see MPEP n, whichever is longer.			
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ms are not under the prop	er		
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🛛	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗵	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for each grour	nd of rejection on appeal (3	37 CFR		
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CFR			
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evider other evidence entered by the examiner and r statement setting forth where in the record that thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).	elied upon by appellant in the a	appeal, along with a	ny		
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interference $41.37(c)(1)(x)$.					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):				
	 Improper headings. "Issues", "Grouping of Claims on appeal and Arguments. "Issues" section should a Summary of Claims does not give a concise description by page and line number or paragraphs. Must identify each ground of rejection. Each Grounds of Rejection should have it's won. 	be submitted with Arugments section cription of each independent claim, a h number.	<u>1.</u>	<u>əd</u>		
		Lene	with a Dyeu/ etha Dyar nt Appeals Specialist			